

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00149-JLT-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	v.	ORDER
14	JOSHYMAR ESTRADA,	DATE: June 7, 2023
15	Defendant.	TIME: 1:00pm COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 7, 2023.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until August	
22	16, 2023, and to exclude time between June 7, 2023, and August 16, 2023, under 18 U.S.C.	
23	§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, a	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case has	
26	been provided. The government is aware of its ongoing discovery obligations.	
27	b) The government has a newly assigned attorney to this matter, who provided	
28	defendant with a plea agreement on May 31, 2023.	

Case 1:22-cr-00149-JLT Document 31 Filed 06/02/23 Page 2 of 3

- c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to confer with his client about a possible resolution, to review discovery, conduct any additional investigation necessary as a result of the plea offer, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 7, 2023 to August 16, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: May 31, 2023

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ YAN SHRAYBERMAN

Dated: May 31, 2023

YAN SHRAYBERMAN Counsel for Defendant JOSHYMAR ESTRADA

Case 1:22-cr-00149-JLT Document 31 Filed 06/02/23 Page 3 of 3